

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN MICHIGAN

FILED (1)
2016 JUN -7 P 2:07

In re:
City of Detroit, Michigan
Debtor

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT
Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Chapter 9

CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CHANGES 44

I, Kim McCoy ("Claimant") hereby responds to the Debtor's Objection to Certain Claims filed by Claimant. In support thereof, Claimant respectfully states the following:

1. During the bankruptcy proceedings Claimant properly filed her Proof of Claim with the Court.
2. In the Proof of Claim, Claimant assessed a claim against the Debtor in the sum of \$20,590.27 as a result of non-payment of employee wages due to her for employment.
3. In the Objection, the Debtor seeks to disallow the Proof of Claims to zero claiming that there is no basis for the Debtor to have liability.
4. For the reasons set forth in detail below, Claimant requests that the Court overrule the Objection to her claim and allow the claim in the amount set forth in her Proof of Claim.

Factual Background

5. During 2012, the Claimant was an employee of the Planning and Development Department, City of Detroit. While an employee of this department, her salary was paid for with and funded by federal grant funds, i.e. Community Development block Grant funds. At no time, was her salary paid using the Debtor's General Fund dollars.

6. Beginning 2012, the Debtor instituted a 10% budget cut instituted through

furlough days in order to save General Fund dollars. At no time, did Claimant's salary or benefits impact the General Fund.

7. The grant funds retained by the Debtor through the implementation of the furlough days is still being retained by the Debtor and is in danger of being recaptured by the federal government.

8. Because the Claimant's salary is funded by grant funds, it had no impact on the City's bankruptcy.

9. The Debtor bases its Objection on the implementation of the Debtor's CETs. This claim has no relation to CETs.

10. The Objection is grounded on the belief that there is no valid basis for the liability of the City but, the liability exists because cuts sustained by Claimant had no relation to the General Fund and therefore, no relation to the bankruptcy.

11. Because the claims have no relation to the bankruptcy, the claims should be allowed to proceed.

THEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Claimant, Kim McCoy
Respectfully requests that the Court overrule the Objection to Claimant's claim and allow such claim in full in the amounts provided in Claimant's Proof of Claim, and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Date: June 7, 2016
Detroit, Michigan

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Kim McCoy

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

IN RE:

CASE NO: 13-53846
CHAPTER: 9

Kim McCoy Debtor.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 7, 2016 (date of mailing), I served

copies as follows:

1. Document(s) served:
Claimant's response to Debtor's 44th Omibus
2. Served upon [name and address of each person served]:
Marc N. Swanson
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500
Detroit, Michigan 48226
3. By First Class Mail.

FILED (1)
2016 JUN -7 P 2:07
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

Dated: June 7, 2016

Kim McCoy
(Signature)
Print Name: Kim McCoy