

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:  
City of Detroit, Michigan,  
Debtor.

---

Chapter 9  
Case No. 13-53846  
Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

**Order Denying Motion for Relief from Stay**

This matter is before the Court on a “Motion for Limited Relief from Automatic Stay,” filed by Jessie Payne, an individual tort claimant. (Dkt. #2684) The City filed an objection to the motion. (Dkt. #2804) Heidi Peterson, another individual tort claimant, also filed a “Memorandum Re: Debtor City’s Objection to Jessie Payne’s Motion.” (Dkt. #2814) The issue is whether the movant has established “cause” for relief from the stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1). The Court concludes that oral argument is not necessary to resolve the motion.

Ms. Payne holds two judgments against the City of Detroit. According to the motion, the judgments are to compensate Ms. Payne for personal injuries she sustained in a motor vehicle accident involving a vehicle owned and operated by the City, for which the City is self-insured. Pursuant to this Court’s previous Order Approving Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures to Promote the Liquidation of Certain Prepetition Claims (Dkt. #2302), such claims are to be resolved in the Alternative Dispute Resolution process. *See* Dkt. #2302 at 17-18 (“Claims subject to the ADR Procedures . . . (3) claims, to the extent not satisfied in the ordinary course, relating to the operation of motor vehicles for which the City is self-insured . . .”).

Ms. Payne does not attempt to distinguish her claims. Instead, she asserts that she should be allowed to proceed because her judgments against the City will not be satisfied with “property

